# Recent Cinar Work on, AFR, NOx and CO:

30-31st of May 2012



### AFR Programme – WP





### **AFR Opportunities**



Biosolids

Av. Size: 50 µm









### **Diaper Cubes & Tire Chips**



# **AFR in Calciners!**

Higher AFR Substitution (up to 90%) Several injection locations;

**Bigger Size (50-60 mm) AFR as compared with Kiln burner (3-5 mm);** 

Potential of reducing kiln generated CO and NOx (up to 80%);

Potential of reducing kiln instability (less build-up and SO3);

Difficult to design a generic burner (mono-channel/multi-channel?)

**One Needs a different Approach!** 



Calciner AFR Constrains!

One needs precise flow and undergoing reaction information inside a calciner:

(1) Both Fuel and meal's best injection locations;

(Michalis/Joana/Tom)

(2) The Design of a Venturi (for bigger AFR fraction)!

(Michalis)



### **Geometry and Dimensions**







# **Solid-Fuel Trajectories**



CINAR LTD

# House in Order?







#### **Profuel particles in O2-Meal particles in Temperature**

# **Created Hot-Wall instead of Hot-spot!**





#### Case Study: Boral Cement Waurn Ponds Australia



Alternative Fuel and Raw Material Programme

**Boral Cement at Waurn Ponds:** 75% Biosolids via the burner and 90% SRF into a KHD Calciner



Cement Plant Locations in Australia

LTD

### **CO and NOx reduction in Calciners:**



# **CO Formation**

 Most of CO is released during the final stages of primary devolatilisation, or the secondary pyrolysis;

• CO continues to be generated from heterogeneous char oxidation which is even more complex.



where C(O) is the oxide complex on the carbon surface



# **CO Reduction**



CO2 + H CO + OH

The most effective CO reduction reaction

reaction

CO + O2CO2 + O The least important CINAR LTD

### **Post-Processing for Trace Species**

• Proven global reactions are used for the nitrogenous species.





# **Calciner (Less Visible but ....)**



Producing a 'lit-back' flame with minimum air premixing of the kiln burner;

Creation of a 'short-sharp kiln flame-reducing the higher-temperature flame envelop for lower thermal NOx formation;

Mid-kiln, kiln back-end fuel (AF) injection (CO emissions, JAMS)



# **Reducing Kiln NOx**

#### **Mono-channel burner**

Estimated Flame Length:20-25 mIgnition distance:1.5-2 mExit NOx:1049 ppm



<u>Multi-channel burner</u>	
<b>Reduction in Flame Length:</b>	5 m
<b>Reduction in Ign. distance:</b>	1 m
Exit NOx:	634 ppm







### **Reducing Kiln CO Emissions**





### **Twin air-jets Arrangement**





### **CO Reduction through Mid-Kiln JAMS Arrangement**

SAS-70 SW-45





Figure 13: Mixing jet mixture fraction fields

### **Calciner Flame (Invisible Flame)**







### **Calciner Flame (Localised Hot-Spots)**







### **Coal Particles in Oxygen:** (Maximum oxygen 2.3%)

Oxygen concentrations along particle trajectories are extremely low!!





O2 [kg/kg]

0.023 0.020 0.018 0.015 0.013 0.010 0.008 0.005 0.003 0.000



### Kiln and TA flow Mixing: Velocity Vectors







# **Reducing CO-General Comments**

Fuel-rich pockets enhance CO formation, more so if fuel volatiles are trapped in the flow recirculation zones;

Improved mixing in hot regions (temperatures above 1000C), in the presence of OH radicals reduces CO at a faster rate;

Improving the residence time alone, away from the NBR (in lower temp. and OH regions; (below 950 C) is less efficient.

If mixing cannot be improved by a simple geometrical alteration, air jets or burners with axial air, having at least half of the momentum of the unmixed stream, may be considered.



### **Reducing NOx/CO in Calciner**

• <u>Suppressing Air-Fuel mixing:</u>

Low NOx burner, FGR, flameless oxidation;

Lower potential for NOx (10-40%), But higher for CO

• Fuel Reburning/Staging:

Creation of CHi and OH radicals which reduce both NO and CO (kiln generated); Higher potential for

Higher potential for reducing both (10-80%)

• <u>SNCR/SCR</u>:

Inject of  $NH_3$  or  $NH_2CONH_2$  @ (900-1100 C) Higher potential (10-80%)

### The Most Important Variables for Reducing NOx:

Temperature;

Residence time;

Fuel volatile/nitrogen content;

Stoichiometry conditions;

Volatiles/Riser Gas & Volatiles/TA mixing!

### **Case Studies!**



# Reducing NOx Emissions in an AT Calciner (RT =1.5 s)



### **NOx Reduction in an AT Calciner**


# **Oxygen & Temperature Profile**







# **First Priority: Calcination & Burnout**



# **Fuel Particles and Burnout**



# **Meal Particles Tracking**





## Case 2: 10% KBEO2, 2 Lower Burners (2m-From MC Burner on side walls)





# **Implementation of JAMS**

Two JAMS: (Jet Air Mixing System)

55947 Nm3/h at a distance of 5m above the original MC burners on the side walls with 150 m/s velocity.





# Case 8: JAMS Moved Up (From 5 to 19m above)



## Case 10: Upper JAMS (4 burners)



#### **Residence Time**



## **Residence Time**

Additional 12,000 Nm3 of air for the JAMS over the 18,000 Nm3/hr already being supplied to the system from the multi-channel burners, will add an estimated fuel penalty of < 10 kcals/kg

## **Important Variables:**

- Num. of burners,
- Location of burners with respect to JAMS
- Coal Volatile content











# **Swirling Chamber Inlets**



Coal-petcoke mixture: 80-20%







## **Oxygen Profile**

The MINOX air is introduced at the top of the bend at two locations.



In the Swirling Chamber most of the remaining O2 is found on the periphery, where the fuel particles are not travelling.









#### **Analysis of Base Case Results – NOx** Exit range NOx : Average Value: 500-900 ppm 770ppm NO (ppm) 950 900 850 800 750 700 650 600 550 500 450 CINAR LTD



# Another example of RSP

# **RT: 5 seconds**







## Analysis of Base Case Results – Mixing of KRG with Combustion Chamber Flow









# The Original Concept of Air staging was Modified to fuel staging (Reburn)!



# 51% NOx reduction via firing 80% of coal in RD



# **NOx reduction in In-line Calciners**



# **Geometry -I**

# **Geometry** -II





# Reduction of both NOx and CO

# Data: Geometry-I

CO: 830 ppmv

NOx: 848 ppmv

02: 2.2%

Burnout: 99%

# Data: Geometry -II

CO: 50 ppmv

NOx: 300 ppmv

O2: 2.3%

Burnout: 99%





## **Geometry-II**



69



# **Reducing NO/CO In Calciners**

- Kiln generated NOx can be reduced from 50-85% in a calciner, depending on calciner configuration as well as several non-linear parameters;
- The conditions which are more favourable to reducing NOx/CO in a calciner are those which promote the destruction of NO via CHi and CO via OH radicals (reburn);

#### **General Comments:**

• Temperatures of up to 1200C, kiln backend oxygen of 3-6% with a good mixing of 'reburn fuel volatiles' over a residence time of about 0.5 second, followed by the gradual TA/JAMS mixing over a residence time of about 1.5 second are found to be the most important parameters, among others, i.e., volatile content, fuel nitrogen content, kiln NOx, burner momentum.



# Anything Missing on the NOx Issues!

- Well, its complex, there are some natural gas-fired calciner producing high NOx (we have not studied those yet!)
- We are studying two calciners these days with high NOx issues, a separate line calciner, and an line calciner, where even the SNCR does not work! (Max.10% NOx reductions)
- But, like before, a plausible solution will be found and concepts will be shared in the 4<sup>th</sup> one!


#### Reducing NOx Beyond Combustion Modifications

#### (Reburn plus SNCR)



#### SNCR (Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction)

A post-combustion technology 'End of Pipe'.

Reduction of NO via NH3 or NH2CONH2 (Urea) takes place at a 'narrow' temperature Window :

- For NH3-(870-980 C)
- For Urea (980-1150 C)
- Spray patterns and dispersion rates;
- Retractable multiple port lances (with cooling jackets) are used to mix reagent with calciner gases;

NH2CONH2 -> 2NH3 + CO2

NO + 4 NH3 -> 4 N2 + 6 H2O

SCR: Even more expensive!





# **SNCR In-Line Precalciner: RT=1.5 s**





## **NOx Reduction In-Line Precalciner**





# **Reburn Optimisation**

Cases	Fuels			NO[ppm]						
[tph]	Coal	AFR(S) A	FR( L)							
B Case	9.75	4.25 1.	.00	652					400/	
Case 1	9.75	4.25 1.	.00	648		Max. NOx reduction: 40%				
Case 2	9.75	4.25 1.	.00	651						
Case 3	9.75	4.25 1.	.00	607						
Case 4	9.75	4.25 1.	.00	649		C	1			
Case 5	9.75	4.25 1.	.00	604				5		
Case 6	5.00	4.25 4.	.57	393		4				
Case 7	6.22	9.50 1.	.00	472	AFR Lic 4.57 tph	ą.	SolidAFR 4.25 tph		AFR 2.0 tph	
Case 8	8.40	4.25 2.	.00	581						
						Case 6		Case 7	Case 8	







# **SNCR plus Reburn Optimisation**

Cases	Fuels				NO	NH3]
	(tph)	Coal AFR (S)		AFR (L)	(ppmv)	(ppmv)
SNCR (	Case 1	9.75	4.25	1.00	350	212
SNCR (	Case 2	9.75	4.25	1.00	404	132
SNCR (	Case 3	9.75	4.25	1.00	367	103
SNCR (	Case 4	9.75	4.25	1.00	334	169
SNCR (	Case 5	9.75	4.25	1.00	338	218
SNCR (	Case 6	9.75	4.25	1.00	320	118
SNCR (	Case 7	9.75	4.25	1.00	574	14
SNCR (	Case 8	5.00	4.25	4.57	223	152
SNCR (	Case 9	6.22	9.50	1.00	224	81



SNCR Case 6



Max. NOx reduction: 12%

## **Temperature [°C]**





**SNCR** (Exit Temperature 860 °C)

Flow and temperature stratification plus not enough residence time!



### **SNCR/SCR**

- SNCR is well established in the boiler sector and on some Plants can get down to 200 mg/NM3
- However both CO and NH3 compete for OH radicals and a higher concentration of CO temperature window also shifts by 50-100 C, due to several reasons:
  - Temperatue and flow is stratified;
  - Insufficient mixing (injectors) + need 1-1.5 s residence time
- A plant which operates at 200 mg/Nm3 has 16 injectors and it took them 2 years to get there
- With MI-CFD it can be much quicker!!
- SCR, at much lower temperatures (300-450 C), NH3 to NO molar ratio 1:1 in the presence of catalyst, for typically 80-90 % NOx reduction efficiency. Can be installed after PM control devices, Solnhofer, Germany

